

Due to differences between software and electronic publishing, the formatting in the following electronic document may not match the original printed copy. The overall content of the document has not been changed in any way from the printed version.

# Table of Contents

---

TITLE	PAGE
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
ES1 Project History.....	ES-1
ES2 Project Objectives .....	ES-3
ES3 Description of the Proposed Project.....	ES-3
ES4 Areas of Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved.....	ES-6
ES5 Summary of Alternatives.....	ES-7
ES6 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-8
<b>CHAPTER 1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Final EIR .....	1-1
1.2 Content of the Final EIR .....	1-2
1.3 Major Revisions in the Project from the Previous EIRs .....	1-4
1.4 Incorporation by Reference .....	1-5
1.5 Definitions.....	1-5
<b>CHAPTER 2.0 PROJECT OBJECTIVES.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Project Objectives .....	2-1
<b>CHAPTER 3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Site Location and Description .....	3-1
3.2 Project Components .....	3-4
3.3 Construction .....	3-27
3.4 Operations Description.....	3-31
3.5 Environmental Monitoring and Control Systems.....	3-41
3.6 Projected Site Life and Landfill Capacity .....	3-60
3.7 Site Closure .....	3-71
3.8 Permits.....	3-75
<b>CHAPTER 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Land Use and Related Planning .....	4.1-1
4.1.1 Existing Setting .....	4.1-1
4.1.2 Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.1-18
4.1.3 Potential Impacts .....	4.1-19
4.1.4 Mitigation Measures.....	4.1-39
4.1.5 Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.1-40
4.2 Geology and Soils .....	4.2-1
4.2.1 Existing Conditions .....	4.2-1
4.2.2 Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.2-25
4.2.3 Potential Impacts .....	4.2-26
4.2.4 Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.2-44
4.2.5 Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.2-46

---

*TABLE OF CONTENTS*

4.3	Hydrogeology .....	4.3-1
4.3.1	Existing Conditions .....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Significance Criteria.....	4.3-14
4.3.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.3-14
4.3.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.3-35
4.3.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.3-38
4.4	Surface Hydrology.....	4.4-1
4.4.1	Existing Conditions .....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.4-9
4.4.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.4-9
4.4.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.4-16
4.4.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.4-17
4.5	Traffic and Circulation .....	4.5-1
4.5.1	Existing Setting .....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Significance Criteria.....	4.5-8
4.5.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.5-9
4.5.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.5-26
4.5.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.5-28
4.6	Noise and Vibration .....	4.6-1
4.6.1	Existing Setting .....	4.6-1
4.6.2	Significance Criteria.....	4.6-13
4.6.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.6-14
4.6.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.6-37
4.6.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.6-39
4.7	Air Quality and Air Toxics Health Risks .....	4.7-1
4.7.1	Existing Setting .....	4.7-1
4.7.2	Significance Criteria.....	4.7-10
4.7.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.7-14
4.7.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.7-38
4.8	Agricultural Resources .....	4.8-1
4.8.1	Existing Setting .....	4.8-1
4.8.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.8-6
4.8.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.8-6
4.8.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.8-12
4.8.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.8-12
4.9	Biological Resources .....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Existing Setting .....	4.9-1
4.9.2	Significance Criteria.....	4.9-18
4.9.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.9-23
4.9.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.9-55
4.9.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.9-70
4.10	Paleontological Resources.....	4.10-1
4.10.1	Existing Setting .....	4.10-1
4.10.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.10-3
4.10.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.10-3
4.10.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.10-5
4.10.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.10-6

---

4.11	Archaeological and Cultural Resources .....	4.11-1
4.11.1	Existing Setting .....	4.11-1
4.11.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.11-9
4.11.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.11-9
4.11.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.11-12
4.11.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.11-16
4.12	Ethnohistory and Native American Interests.....	4.12-1
4.12.1	Existing Setting .....	4.12-1
4.12.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.12-4
4.12.3	Impacts .....	4.12-5
4.12.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.12-10
4.12.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.12-13
4.13	Aesthetics .....	4.13-1
4.13.1	Existing Setting .....	4.13-1
4.13.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.13-11
4.13.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.13-13
4.13.4	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.13-59
4.13.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.13-69
4.14	Socioeconomics.....	4.14-1
4.14.1	Existing Setting .....	4.14-1
4.14.2	Impact Significance Criteria.....	4.14-4
4.14.3	Potential Impacts .....	4.14-4
4.14.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.14-8
4.14.5	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.14-8
4.15	Public Services and Utilities .....	4.15-1
4.15.1	Gas and Electricity Service .....	4.15-1
4.15.2	Telephone Service .....	4.15-3
4.15.3	Water Service and Facilities.....	4.15-4
4.15.4	Wastewater Service and Facilities.....	4.15-11
4.15.5	Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services.....	4.15-12
4.15.6	Law Enforcement .....	4.15-16
4.15.7	School Facilities .....	4.15-18
4.15.8	Energy.....	4.15-19
4.16	Human Health and Safety.....	4.16-1
4.16.1	Existing Setting .....	4.16-1
4.16.2	Potential Impacts .....	4.16-5
4.16.3	Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features .....	4.16-17
4.16.4	Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	4.16-18
<b>CHAPTER 5.0</b>	<b>CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Projects Evaluated for Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	5-1
5.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	5-16
<b>CHAPTER 6.0</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	6-1
6.2	Alternatives to the Proposed Project .....	6-5
6.3	Alternatives Designed to Reduce Unmitigable Impacts.....	6-24
6.4	Alternate North County Locations .....	6-37

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

6.5 Long Term Transport of Waste to Sites Outside San Diego County .....	6-55
6.6 Waste Reduction and Recycling.....	6-63
6.7 Prescriptive Design Alternatives .....	6-67
6.8 Alternatives Considered But Rejected .....	6-84
6.9 Summary and the Environmentally Superior Alternative .....	6-89
<b>CHAPTER 7.0 SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 8.0 GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 9.0 EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 10.0 PROJECT DESIGN FEATURES, MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM, AND SECONDARY IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>10-1</b>
10.1 Project Design Features.....	10-1
10.2 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program .....	10-8
10.3 Potential Secondary Impacts Associated with Implementation of the Mitigation Measures.....	10-60
10.4 Additional Environmental Analysis—SR 76 Improvements .....	10-61
<b>CHAPTER 11.0 UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS.....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 12.0 EIR CONTRIBUTORS .....</b>	<b>12-1</b>
12.1 Organizations and Persons Consulted .....	12-1
<b>CHAPTER 13.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>13-1</b>

# List of Tables

---

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
ES-1	Summary of Project Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Level of Significance After Mitigation .....	ES-9
ES-2	Mitigation Measures for the First San Diego Aqueduct Relocation Option.....	ES-48
3-1	Size of Project Components.....	3-5
3-2	Estimated Full Time Personnel For the Landfill Operation.....	3-40
3-3	On-Site Equipment .....	3-40
3-4	Characteristics of Desilting Basins .....	3-48
3-5	Recommended Vegetative Cover Plant Species List.....	3-73
3-6	Summary of Permits .....	3-76
4.2-1	Orientations of Main Discontinuities.....	4.2-12
4.2-2	Summary of Soil Classification Tests.....	4.2-15
4.2-3	Summary of Laboratory Compaction Test Results .....	4.2-16
4.2-4	Summary of Strength Test Results.....	4.2-17
4.2-5	Summary of Consolidation Tests.....	4.2-18
4.2-6	Summary of Laboratory Permeability Test Results .....	4.2-18
4.2-7	Peak Horizontal Ground Accelerations .....	4.2-24
4.2-8	Results of Liquefaction Susceptibility Analysis .....	4.2-32
4.2-9	Summary of Refuse Fill Stability Analysis.....	4.2-37
4.2-10	Results of the Shake and Newmark Deformation Analyses .....	4.2-41
4.3-1	Landfill Leachate Constituent Concentration Ranges National Sites and California Casestudy (Concentrations In MG/L) .....	4.3-19
4.3-2	Wells Used in Cross Hole Testing .....	4.3-26
4.5-1	Level of Service Characteristics .....	4.5-4
4.5-2	Summary of Existing Conditions Peak Hour Level of Service.....	4.5-6
4.5-3	Accident Summary Data .....	4.5-8
4.5-4	Project Generated Traffic Assuming 5,000 and 3,200 Tons Per Day .....	4.5-10
4.5-5	Hourly Distribution of Project Generated Traffic (With PCE Factor).....	4.5-12
4.5-6	Comparison of A.M./P.M. Peak Hour Volumes with Project Generated 11 A.M./ 2 P.M. Peak Hour.....	4.5-15
4.5-7	Existing And Existing Plus Project Peak Hour Level Of Service.....	4.5-17
4.5-8	Known Development in Project Area for Near Term Cumulative Analysis .....	4.5-19
4.5-9	Existing Plus Other Development Wth and Wthout Project Peak Hour Level of Service .....	4.5-23
4.5-10	Peak Hour Level of Service at Intersections and Freeway Ramps With and Without the Project Year 2020.....	4.5-25
4.5-11	Roadway Capacity Level of Service on Street Segments Year 2020.....	4.5-26
4.6-1	San Diego County Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards .....	4.6-3
4.6-2	Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Levels.....	4.6-7
4.6-3	Estimated Existing Traffic Noise Levels .....	4.6-8
4.6-4	U.S. Bureau of Mines RI 8507 Standards.....	4.6-14
4.6-5	Estimated Traffic Noise Level Increases on Public Roadways.....	4.6-21

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

4.6-6	Estimated Future Cumulative Traffic-Related Noise Levels with the Proposed Project .....	4.6-22
4.6-7	Peak Hour L <sub>eq</sub> and Traffic Noise Contour Distances Existing and Year 2020 With and Without the Project .....	4.6-25
4.6-8	Landfill Operation Noise Levels at Property Line <sup>a</sup> Individual and Combined Noise Levels .....	4.6-27
4.6-9	Potential Noise Levels at the Closest Least Bell's Vireo Habitat .....	4.6-30
4.6-10	Recommended Minimum Allowable Blasting Limits From SDCWA Aqueduct .....	4.6-34
4.6-11	Recommended Minimum Allowable Blasting Limits From SDG&E Transmission Corridor .....	4.6-36
4.7-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	4.7-5
4.7-2	San Diego Air Basin Attainment Status.....	4.7-6
4.7-3	Ambient Air Quality Summary—Escondido Monitoring Station .....	4.7-11
4.7-4	Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations For Existing Traffic Conditions .....	4.7-13
4.7-5	Significance Thresholds.....	4.7-13
4.7-6	Summary of Short-Term (Construction Phase) Emissions .....	4.7-16
4.7-7	Initial Construction Impacts on Ambient Pollutant Concentrations .....	4.7-17
4.7-8	PM <sub>10</sub> initial Construction Impacts (Number of Additional Exceedances of the State 24-hr PM <sub>10</sub> Standard) .....	4.7-18
4.7-9	Summary of Impacts Related to construction .....	4.7-18
4.7-10	Summary of Operational Emissions (Year 19) .....	4.7-20
4.7-11	Summary of Operational Emissions (Year 30) .....	4.7-21
4.7-12	Operational Impacts on Ambient Pollutant Concentrations (Year 19) .....	4.7-22
4.7-13	Operational Impacts on Ambient Pollutant Concentrations (Year 30) .....	4.7-22
4.7-14	PM <sub>10</sub> Years 19 and 30 operational Impacts (Number of Additional Exceedances of the State 24-hr PM <sub>10</sub> Standard) .....	4.7-23
4.7-15	Summary of Impacts Related to Project Operation.....	4.7-24
4.7-16	Worst-Case Projections of Peak-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations Year 2020.....	4.7-26
4.7-17	VISCREEN Model Input Parameters .....	4.7-28
4.7-18	Results of VISCREEN Model Screening Analysis Gregory Canyon Landfill/Aqua Tibia Wilderness Area.....	4.7-29
4.7-19	Predicted Health Risks Due to Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions from the Gregory Canyon Landfill Project .....	4.7-37
4.8-1	On-Site Soil Resources .....	4.8-4
4.9-1	Vegetation Community Acreage for the Gregory Canyon Landfill Site .....	4.9-2
4.9-2	Jurisdictional Areas Delineated On the Gregory Canyon Landfill Site .....	4.9-9
4.9-3	Sensitive Plant and Animal Species Observed .....	4.9-11
4.9-4	Vegetation Community Impacts on the Gregory Canyon Landfill Site .....	4.9-25
4.9-5	Potential Impacts to Jurisdictional Areas for the Gregory Canyon Landfill .....	4.9-31
4.11-1	Archaeological Sites Within the Project Site.....	4.11-5
4.11-2	Potential Impacts on Archaeological Sites & Locales Within the Project Site...	4.11-10
4.12-1	Potential Landfill Noise Levels at Medicine Rock and Gregory Mountain.....	4.12-9
4.13-1	Landscape Unit Character and Rating Summary .....	4.13-4
4.13-2	Key View Impact Summary .....	4.13-44
4.13-3	Visual Impact Summary.....	4.13-45

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

4.14-1	Estimated Full Time Personnel for the Landfill Operation.....	4.14-6
4.15-1	Existing School Facilities Current Enrollment and Capacity .....	4.15-18
4.16-1	Existing and Proposed Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facilities in San Diego County .....	4.16-3
5.1-1	Generalized Vegetation Changes, 1990-1995—San Diego Region .....	5-4
5.1-2	Individual Projects Evaluated for Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	5-6
5.2-1	Traffic Effects of Certain Specific Projects Considered in the Cumulative Analysis .....	5-26
5.2-2	Generalized Vegetation Changes, 1990-1995—San Diego Region .....	5-38
5.2-3	Proportionate Loss of Vegetation Associated with the Proposed Project Within San Diego County .....	5-38
6-1A	Existing Disposal Patterns and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Summary 1999.....	6-9
6-2	Summary of Air Emissions with the Reduced Visual Impact Alternative.....	6-27
6-3	Summary of Air Emissions with the Reduced Air Emissions Alternative .....	6-34
6-4	Additional Existing Landfills Able to Accept Out-of-County Solid Wastes.....	6-56
6-5A	Out-of-County Rail Haul Landfills .....	6-57
6-5B	North County Waste Diversion by Jurisdiction 1997-2000.....	6-64
6-6	Summary of the Comparative Impacts of Alternatives.....	6-91
10-1	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for Project Impacts .....	10-9
10-2	Proposition C Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.....	10-48
10-3	First San Diego Aqueduct Relocation Option Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program .....	10-54
10-4	Potential Secondary Impacts from Implementation of Mitigation Measures .....	10-60

# List of Exhibits

---

EXHIBIT TITLE	PAGE
3-1 Regional Location of the Project Site .....	3-2
3-2 USGS Map of the Project Area.....	3-3
3-3 Site Plan .....	3-7
3-4 Master Excavation of Landfill Footprint .....	3-9
3-5 Proposed Waste Containment System Design .....	3-12
3-6 Existing/Proposed SR 76 Alignment .....	3-15
3-7 Bridge and Channel Excavation.....	3-17
3-8 Ancillary Facilities Plan.....	3-20
3-9 Proposed On-Site Open Space .....	3-23
3-10 SDG&E Tower Relocation .....	3-25
3-11 SDCWA Relocation Option.....	3-26
3-12 Typical Landfill Operation Cross-Section .....	3-33
3-13 Landfill Gas Control System Plan .....	3-43
3-14 Typical Landfill Cross-Section Depicting Water/Leachate Drainage and Collection Paths.....	3-45
3-15 Surface Water Drainage Control Plan.....	3-46
3-16 LCRS/Subdrain System Plan and Proposed Groundwater Monitoring Well Location .....	3-51
3-17 Master Fill Plan/Final Grades of Landfill Footprint .....	3-62
3-18 Phase I Excavation Plan.....	3-63
3-19 Phase I Fill Plan .....	3-65
3-20 Phase II Excavation Plan.....	3-66
3-21 Phase II Fill Plan .....	3-67
3-22 Phase III Excavation Plan .....	3-68
3-23 Phase IV Excavation Plan.....	3-69
3-24 Phase III and IV Fill Plan .....	3-70
3-25 Typical Deck and Slope Cover Section .....	3-72
4.1-1 Existing Land Uses .....	4.1-2
4.1-2 General Plan Land Use Designations.....	4.1-8
4.1-3 Designated Resource Conservation Areas .....	4.1-10
4.1-4 Zoning Map.....	4.1-12
4.2-1 Geological Map of the Site .....	4.2-4
4.2-2 Location of Test Pits .....	4.2-5
4.2-3 Regional Tectonic Setting.....	4.2-11
4.2-4 Surficial Soils Types Located Within the Project Site.....	4.2-13
4.2-5 Potential Sources of Debris Flow Hazard.....	4.2-19
4.2-6 Location of Nearby Faults.....	4.2-20
4.2-7 Epicenters of Earthquakes from 1932-1997.....	4.2-22
4.2-8 End-Member Trajectories Used in the Rockfall Analysis .....	4.2-28
4.2-9 Potential Sources of Debris Flow Hazard and Gabion Containment Structures .....	4.2-30

4.2-10	Final Fill Plan with Locations of Slope Stability Sections .....	4.2-36
4.2-11	Small Buttress Scenario for Slope Stability Analysis.....	4.2-38
4.2-12	Large Buttress Scenario for Slope Stability Analysis .....	4.2-39
4.3-1	Hydrologic Planning Areas .....	4.3-3
4.3-2	Location of Known Wells .....	4.3-6
4.3-3	Configuration of the Water Table in the Alluvial Aquifer.....	4.3-9
4.3-4	Configuration of the Piezometric Surface in the Bedrock Aquifer.....	4.3-10
4.3-4A	Known Wells Within One-Mile Radius of Project Site.....	4.3-13
4.3-5	Model 1 Contaminant Transport Paths .....	4.3-23
4.3-6	Model 2 Contaminant Transport Paths .....	4.3-25
4.3-6A	Schematic Diagram of Flow Lines Within the Zone of Influence of Groundwater Monitoring Wells Under Pumping Conditions .....	4.3-28
4.3-7	Groundwater Monitoring Network .....	4.3-29
4.3-8	Comparison between Grading Plan and Piezometric Surface .....	4.3-33
4.4-1	Drainage Patterns and Surface Water Bodies .....	4.4-3
4.4-2	Flood Hazard Areas and Proposed Project .....	4.4-5
4.5-1	Existing Intersection Geometrics .....	4.5-2
4.5-2	Vertical Grade Profile Sketch SR 76 From I-15 to Project.....	4.5-3
4.5-3	Existing Traffic Volumes.....	4.5-5
4.5-4	Project Distribution Percentages.....	4.5-13
4.5-5	Projected-Generated Traffic Volumes and Distribution .....	4.5-14
4.5-6	Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes and Distribution .....	4.5-16
4.5-7	Other Development Traffic Volumes and Distribution .....	4.5-20
4.5-8	Existing Plus Other Development Traffic Volumes and Distribution .....	4.5-21
4.5-9	Existing Plus Other Development Plus Project Traffic Volumes and Distribution .....	4.5-22
4.6-1	Ambient Noise Measurement Locations.....	4.6-6
4.6-2	Existing 60 CNEL.....	4.6-9
4.6-3	Typical Vibration Sources and Sensitivities .....	4.6-11
4.6-4	Analysis Locations .....	4.6-18
4.6-5	Existing Plus Project Plus Cumulative/Future Cumulative 60 CNEL.....	4.6-23
4.6-6	Total Combined Landfill Noise Affecting Wildlife Habitat.....	4.6-31
4.7-1	Wind Rose for Miramar Marine Corps Air Base .....	4.7-2
4.7-2	Gregory Canyon Wind Rose Analysis .....	4.7-3
4.7-3	CALINE 4 Receptor Sites.....	4.7-12
4.8-1	Agricultural Soil Types.....	4.8-5
4.8-2	Agricultural Preserves and Contract Lands.....	4.8-7
4.9-1A	Vegetation Communities/Habitat.....	4.9-3
4.9-1B	Vegetation Communities/Habitat.....	4.9-5
4.9-2A	Existing Sensitive Species Map .....	4.9-19
4.9-2B	Sensitive Species Map .....	4.9-21
4.9-3A	Vegetation Map/Impacts .....	4.9-27
4.9-3B	Vegetation Map/Impacts .....	4.9-29
4.9-4A	Sensitive Species/Impacts .....	4.9-33
4.9-4B	Sensitive Species/Impacts .....	4.9-35
4.9-5	Conceptual Mitigation Areas .....	4.9-59
4.12-1	Analysis Points for Cultural Resources .....	4.12-7

---

*TABLE OF CONTENTS*

---

4.13-1	Regional Visual Setting .....	4.13-2
4.13-2	Visual Resources Classification.....	4.13-7
4.13-3	Existing Landscape Elements .....	4.13-9
4.13-4	Project Elements .....	4.13-14
4.13-5	Key View 1: Pala Mesa.....	4.13-15
4.13-6	Key View 2: West San Luis Rey Canyon .....	4.13-17
4.13-7	Key View 3: Central San Luis Rey Canyon.....	4.13-19
4.13-8	Key View 4: Rice Canyon.....	4.13-21
4.13-9	Key View 5: Eastbound Highway 76.....	4.13-23
4.13-10	Key View 6: Westbound Highway 76 .....	4.13-25
4.13-11	Key View 7: North Hillside Viewshed .....	4.13-27
4.13-12	Key View 8: East San Luis Rey Canyon.....	4.13-29
4.13-13	Key View 9: Lower Couser Canyon .....	4.13-31
4.13-14	Key View 10: Upper Couser Canyon.....	4.13-33
4.13-15	Viewsheds of Landfill Surface.....	4.13-35
4.13-16	Visibility of the Landfill Surface from SR 76.....	4.13-41
4.13-17	Potential Screening Locations Along SR 76.....	4.13-55
4.13-18	Conceptual Landscape Plan .....	4.13-61
4.13-18	Legend for Exhibit .....	4.13-63
4.14-1	Major Statistical Areas and Subregional Areas .....	4.14-2
4.15-1	Water District Boundaries.....	4.15-5
5-1	Cumulative Project Locations.....	5-7
5-2	Pipeline No. 6 Alternative Alignments .....	5-10
5-3	Existing and Future Pipelines Through Gregory Canyon Landfill Site .....	5-11
6-1	Locations of Existing Landfills and Transfer Stations in San Diego County .....	6-7
6-2	SDG&E Western Alignment Alternative.....	6-19
6-3	Reduced Visual Impacts Alternative.....	6-25
6-4	General Location of Aspen and Merriam Mtn. Alts .....	6-38
6-5	Location of Merriam Mountain Site .....	6-39
6-6	Location of Aspen Road Site .....	6-47
6-7	Prescriptive Design Alternative .....	6-69
6-8	Liner Details.....	6-77

# APPENDICES

---

The following Appendices are contained in the EIR:

- Appendix A: Notice of Preparation
- Appendix B: Proposition C
- Appendix C: San Luis Rey Municipal Water District Agreement
- Appendix D: Project Assumptions

The following Appendices are contained in separate Technical Appendices:

- Appendix E: General Plan Consistency
- Appendix F: Geology and Soils
- Appendix G: Hydrogeology
- Appendix H: Surface Hydrology
- Appendix I: Traffic and Circulation
- Appendix J: Noise and Vibration
- Appendix K: Air Quality and Air Toxics Health Risk
- Appendix L: Biological Resources
- Appendix M: Paleontological Resources
- Appendix N: Archaeology
- Appendix O: Ethnohistory
- Appendix P: Aesthetics
- Appendix Q: Socioeconomics
- Appendix R: Existing Disposal Pattern Data (North County and Entire San Diego County)
- Appendix S: Resumes of EIR Preparers and Technical Consultants
- Appendix T: Responses to Comments